

## REMARKS

The claims have been amended to correct the minor discrepancies noted in the final Office action mailed October 26, 2007.

More specifically, claim 8 has been amended to specify that the adsorbent referred to in claim 7 is the absorbent in the second adsorbent bed. The amendments to claim 16 correct an obvious typo (sstream) and also correct the dependency of claim 16 from cancelled claim 15 to claim 14. Since claim 16 is no longer dependent on a cancelled claim, the basis for rejecting claims 18 and 19 is likewise obviated.

Since the amendments correct minor informalities and remove issues for appeal, their entry is respectfully requested.

In view of the amendments it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 8, 16, 18 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn. The remaining rejections based on the prior art are the subject of the accompanying Notice of Appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

JOLINDE MACHTELD VAN DE GRAAF and  
THIJME LAST

By



Their Attorneys, Charles W. Stewart and  
Leonard P. Miller  
Registration Nos. 34,023 and 26,004

P. O. Box 2463  
Houston, Texas 77252-2463  
(713) 241-0360